UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

QUINTIN J. BALLENTINE,

Plaintiff,

-against-

BRONX CARE MEDICAL CENTER,

Defendant.

24-CV-5480 (LTS)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH LEAVE TO REPLEAD

LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN, Chief United States District Judge:

Plaintiff, who is appearing *pro se*, brings this action under 42 U.S.C.§ 1983 and federal criminal statutes, alleging that Defendant violated his federally protected rights. By order dated September 7, 2024, the Court granted Plaintiff's request to proceed *in forma pauperis* ("IFP"), that is, without prepayment of fees.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

The Court must dismiss an IFP complaint, or any portion of the complaint, that is frivolous or malicious, fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted, or seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B); see Livingston v. Adirondack Beverage Co., 141 F.3d 434, 437 (2d Cir. 1998). The Court must also dismiss a complaint when the Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction of the claims raised. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(h)(3).

While the law mandates dismissal on any of these grounds, the Court is obliged to construe *pro se* pleadings liberally, *Harris v. Mills*, 572 F.3d 66, 72 (2d Cir. 2009), and interpret them to raise the "strongest [claims] that they *suggest*," *Triestman v. Fed. Bureau of Prisons*, 470 F.3d 471, 474 (2d Cir. 2006) (internal quotation marks and citations omitted) (emphasis in original). But the "special solicitude" in *pro se* cases, *id.* at 475 (citation omitted), has its limits –

Procedure, which requires a complaint to make a short and plain statement showing that the

to state a claim, pro se pleadings still must comply with Rule 8 of the Federal Rules of Civil

pleader is entitled to relief.

Rule 8 requires a complaint to include enough facts to state a claim for relief "that is plausible on its face." *Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 570 (2007). A claim is facially plausible if the plaintiff pleads enough factual detail to allow the Court to draw the inference that the defendant is liable for the alleged misconduct. In reviewing the complaint, the Court must accept all well-pleaded factual allegations as true. *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 678-79 (2009). But it does not have to accept as true "[t]hreadbare recitals of the elements of a cause of action," which are essentially just legal conclusions. *Twombly*, 550 U.S. at 555. After separating legal conclusions from well-pleaded factual allegations, the Court must determine whether those facts make it plausible – not merely possible – that the pleader is entitled to relief. *Id*.

BACKGROUND

The following facts are drawn from the complaint. Plaintiff "became a patient of" Bronx Care Medical Center in early 2014. (ECF 1 ¶ III.) He alleges that he

witnessed things that have left me changed, and traumatized and I currently am in additional treatment to help cope with the psychological abuse, neglect, over medication, and over diagnosis, and outright fraud by the providers at this facility. They on many occasions, gave me treatments that were not only unjustifiable to my current condition being treated, but they would also fabricate when needed, "evidence," to support their claims for what can only be defined as cruel and inhuman treatment to help "treat my severe condition."

(*Id*.)

¹ The Court quotes from the complaint verbatim. All spelling, grammar, and punctuation are as in the original, unless noted otherwise.

According to Plaintiff, "many of the providers at this facility are more interested in 'numbers' and 'reimbursements' than providing accurate, and evidence based treatment, and they "prey on unsuspecting patients who go there to get help for various ailments, and then when they leave from their appointments they are often made fun of and berated by the staff and outright laughed at amongst the 'coworkers there.'" (Id.) Plaintiff further claims that they "have made statements in my health care record that are not only patently untrue, exaggerated, or outright misrepresentation of my true health condition, seemingly to get my insurance to reimburse." (Id.)²

Plaintiff invokes Section 1983, and 18 U.S.C. §§ 1028 and § 1347, and seeks money damages. (*Id.* ¶ IV.)

DISCUSSION

A. 42 U.S.C. Section 1983

Plaintiff invokes 42 U.S.C. § 1983. To state a claim under Section 1983, a plaintiff must allege both that: (1) a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States was violated, and (2) the right was violated by a person acting under the color of state law, or a "state actor." West v. Atkins, 487 U.S. 42, 48-49 (1988). Specifically, a claim for relief under Section 1983 must allege facts showing that each defendant acted under the color of a state "statute, ordinance, regulation, custom or usage." 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Private parties therefore generally are not liable under the statute. Sykes v. Bank of Am., 723 F.3d 399, 406 (2d Cir. 2013) (citing Brentwood

² In addition to this complaint, Plaintiff has filed six other *pro se* complaints in 2024. *See Ballentine v. State of New York*, ECF 1:24-CV-04615 (S.D.N.Y. filed June 17, 2024); *Ballentine v. Google LLC*, ECF 1:24-CV-4699 (S.D.N.Y. June 18, 2024) *Ballentine v. Credit One Bank N.A.*, ECF 1:24-CV-4710 (S.D.N.Y. June 18, 2024); *Ballentine v. Verizon Comm'ns, Inc.*, ECF 1:23-CV-4903 (S.D.N.Y. filed June 25, 2024); *Ballentine v. Yahoo, Inc.*, ECF 1:24-CV-5253 (S.D.N.Y. July 7, 2024); *Ballentine v. NYCPD*, ECF 1:24-CV-6121 (S.D.N.Y. filed Aug. 6, 2024).

Acad. v. Tenn. Secondary Sch. Athletic Ass'n, 531 U.S. 288, 295 (2001)); see also Ciambriello v. Cnty. of Nassau, 292 F.3d 307, 323 (2d Cir. 2002) ("[T]he United States Constitution regulates only the Government, not private parties.").

Defendant Bronx Care Medical Center is a private hospital, and therefore cannot be held liable under Section 1983. *See McGugan v. Aldana-Bernier*, 752 F.3d 224, 229 (2d Cir. 2014) (finding that a private hospital and its staff are not state actors under Section 1983); *White v. St. Joseph's Hosp.*, 369 F. App'x 225, 226 (2d Cir. 2010) (summary order) (noting that private institutions, such as hospitals, generally do not act under color of state law); *Johnson v. City of New York*, No. 20-CV-3083 (GBD) (DCM), 2021 WL 4896477, at *9 (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 23, 2021) (noting that "[a]lthough private hospitals are regulated by the state and required to obey state laws, they 'are generally not proper § 1983 defendants because they do not act under color of state law.'") (citation omitted)), *report & recommendation adopted*, 2021 WL 4479384 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 30, 2021). Plaintiff therefore fails to state a Section 1983 claim against this defendant. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii).

B. Federal Criminal Statutes

Plaintiff invokes federal criminal statutes, however, a private citizen cannot prosecute a criminal action in federal court. *See Leek v. Timmerman*, 454 U.S. 83, 86-87 (1981) (prisoners lack standing to seek the issuance of an arrest warrant); *Linda R.S. v. Richard D.*, 410 U.S. 614, 619 (1973) ("[A] private citizen lacks a judicially cognizable interest in the prosecution or nonprosecution of another."). Because federal prosecutors possess discretionary authority to bring criminal actions, they are "immune from control or interference by citizen or court." *Conn. Action Now, Inc. v. Roberts Plating Co.*, 457 F.2d 81, 87 (2d Cir. 1972). Accordingly, the Court dismisses any claims in which Plaintiff seeks the criminal prosecution of Defendant for failure to state a claim on which relief may be granted. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii).

C. State-law Claims

Plaintiff's complaint may be construed as asserting state-law claims. A district court may decline to exercise supplemental jurisdiction of state law claims when it "has dismissed all claims over which it has original jurisdiction." 28 U.S.C. § 1367(c)(3). Generally, "when the federal-law claims have dropped out of the lawsuit in its early stages and only state-law claims remain, the federal court should decline the exercise of jurisdiction." *Carnegie-Mellon Univ. v. Cohill*, 484 U.S. 343, 350 n.7 (1988)). Having dismissed the federal claims of which the Court has original jurisdiction, the Court declines at this stage to exercise its supplemental jurisdiction of any state law claims Plaintiff may be asserting. *See Kolari v. New York-Presbyterian Hosp.*, 455 F.3d 118, 122 (2d Cir. 2006) ("Subsection (c) of § 1367 'confirms the discretionary nature of supplemental jurisdiction by enumerating the circumstances in which district courts can refuse its exercise." (quoting *City of Chicago v. Int'l Coll. of Surgeons*, 522 U.S. 156, 173 (1997))).

LEAVE TO AMEND GRANTED

Plaintiff proceeds in this matter without the benefit of an attorney. District courts generally should grant a self-represented plaintiff an opportunity to amend a complaint to cure its defects, unless amendment would be futile. *See Hill v. Curcione*, 657 F.3d 116, 123-24 (2d Cir. 2011); *Salahuddin v. Cuomo*, 861 F.2d 40, 42 (2d Cir. 1988). Indeed, the Second Circuit has cautioned that district courts "should not dismiss [a *pro se* complaint] without granting leave to amend at least once when a liberal reading of the complaint gives any indication that a valid claim might be stated." *Cuoco v. Moritsugu*, 222 F.3d 99, 112 (2d Cir. 2000) (quoting *Gomez v. USAA Fed. Sav. Bank*, 171 F.3d 794, 795 (2d Cir. 1999)). In light of Plaintiff's *pro se* status, and in an abundance of caution, the Court grants Plaintiff 30 days' leave to amend his complaint to detail his claims.

If Plaintiff does not file an amended complaint within the time allowed, the Court will

direct the Clerk of Court to enter judgment in this action.

CONCLUSION

Plaintiff's complaint, filed IFP under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1), is dismissed pursuant to 28

U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii), with 30 days' leave to replead. The Court declines at this state to

exercise its supplemental jurisdiction, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1983, of any state-law claims

Plaintiff may wish to assert.

The Court certifies under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that any appeal from this order would

not be taken in good faith, and therefore IFP status is denied for the purpose of an appeal. See

Coppedge v. United States, 369 U.S. 438, 444-45 (1962).

The Court directs the Clerk of Court to hold this matter open on the docket until a civil

judgment is entered.

SO ORDERED.

Dated:

October 28, 2024

New York, New York

/s/ Laura Taylor Swain

LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN

Chief United States District Judge

6

		TATES DISTRICT COURT N DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
			Civ	()
(In the space above enter the full name(s) of the plaintiff(s).)		bove enter the full name(s) of the plaintiff(s).)	AMEN COMPI	
		-against-		
			Jury Trial: 🗆 🤇	Yes □ No (check one)
please additio listed	write 'onal sheet in the ab	names of all of the defendants in the space provided, see attached" in the space above and attach an et of paper with the full list of names. The names pove caption must be identical to those contained in sees should not be included here.)		
I.	Parti	es in this complaint:		
A.	identi	List your name, address and telephone number. If you are presently in custody, include you identification number and the name and address of your current place of confinement. Do the sam for any additional plaintiffs named. Attach additional sheets of paper as necessary.		ent. Do the same
Plaint	tiff	Name		
		Street Address		
		County, City		
		State & Zip Code		
		Telephone Number		
В.	List a	all defendants. You should state the full name of t	he defendant, even if tha	at defendant is a

government agency, an organization, a corporation, or an individual. Include the address where each defendant may be served. Make sure that the defendant(s) listed below are identical to those

contained in the above caption. Attach additional sheets of paper as necessary.

Defen	dant No. 1	Name					
		Street Address					
		County, City					
		State & Zip Code					
		Telephone Number					
Defen	dant No. 2	Name					
		Street Address					
		County, City					
		State & Zip Code					
		Telephone Number					
Defen	dant No. 3	Name					
		Street Address					
		County, City					
		State & Zip Code					
		Telephone Number					
Defen	dant No. 4	Name					
		Street Address					
		County, City					
		State & Zip Code					
		Telephone Number					
II.	Basis for Jun	isdiction:					
cases i U.S.C questic state a	involving a fed . § 1331, a ca on case. Under nd the amount	urts of limited jurisdiction. Only two types of cases can be heard in federal court: eral question and cases involving diversity of citizenship of the parties. Under 28 se involving the United States Constitution or federal laws or treaties is a federal r 28 U.S.C. § 1332, a case in which a citizen of one state sues a citizen of another in damages is more than \$75,000 is a diversity of citizenship case.					
A.	What is the b	asis for federal court jurisdiction? (check all that apply)					
	☐ Federal Q	uestions					
В.	If the basis for jurisdiction is Federal Question, what federal Constitutional, statutory or treaty right						
	is at issue?						
C.	If the basis for jurisdiction is Diversity of Citizenship, what is the state of citizenship of each party?						
	Plaintiff(s) state(s) of citizenship						
		state(s) of citizenship					

III. Statement of Claim:

State as briefly as possible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe how each of the defendants named in the caption of this complaint is involved in this action, along with the dates and locations of all relevant events. You may wish to include further details such as the names of other persons involved in the events giving rise to your claims. Do not cite any cases or statutes. If you intend to allege a number of related claims, number and set forth each claim in a separate paragraph. Attach additional sheets of paper as necessary.

	A. Where did the events giving rise to your claim(s) occur?	
	В.	What date and approximate time did the events giving rise to your claim(s) occur?
	 С.	Facts:
What happened to you?		
Who did what?		
Was anyone else involved?		
Who else saw what happened?		
	IV.	Injuries:
	If you treatm	sustained injuries related to the events alleged above, describe them and state what medical tent, if any, you required and received.

V. Relief: State what you want the Co	ourt to do for you and the amount of monetary compensation, if any, you are
•	such compensation.
I declare under penalty of Signed this day of	f perjury that the foregoing is true and correct
	Signature of Plaintiff
	Mailing Address
	Telephone Number
	Fax Number (if you have one)
	d in the caption of the complaint must date and sign the complaint. Prisoners their inmate numbers, present place of confinement, and address.
For Prisoners:	
I declare under penalty of paths complaint to prison authors the Southern District of Ne	perjury that on this day of, 20, I am delivering horities to be mailed to the <i>Pro Se</i> Office of the United States District Court for w York.
	Signature of Plaintiff:
	Inmate Number